An International Peer Reviewed

SCHOLARLY RESEARCH JOURNAL FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES



STUDY OF STRESS MANAGEMENT OF SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

Indu Rathee

Associate Professor, T.R. College Of Education, Sonepat, Haryana

Abstract

Stress is an integral part of natural fabric of life. The present study conducted on the stress management of secondary school teachers. In the research procedure investigators has taken a sample of 100 teachers at secondary level schools of Sonepat district (HARYANA), out of which 50 working in Government and 50 working in Non-Government schools with using random sampling techniques. Stress Management inventory developed by Dr. Vanadana Kaushik (Udaipur) and Dr. Namarata Arora Charpe (Banasthali) is used. It is found that there is a significant difference between stress management of Government and Non-Government secondary school teachers.

Key words: Stress Management of Secondary School Teachers

Introduction:

Today everyone is in stress whether one acknowledges it or not. Nowadays stress becomes universal phenomenon. Abrol (1990) discussed about, Every person wants more and more for the attainment of pleasure, due to this competition is increased in every field of life and this competition generates stress among people no doubt the competition is must but we don't ignore its results. Workplace stress occurs when there are an imbalance the demands and perceived pressures of the work environment and an individual ability to cope. Teaching is becoming more challenging as a profession: a more paper work, more bureaucracy and more unruly classes. There is definitely a need of stress management for teachers. It is found that teacher work-related stress is a widespread problem. Large numbers of teachers report high levels of stress (Jarvis, 2002). Worldwide surveys reveal widespread concern about the effects of stress on teachers' sense of well-being and their willingness to stay in the profession. Compared to the general population, teachers are at risk for higher

levels of psychological distress and lower levels of job satisfaction (Schonfield, 1990). Borg (1990) reports that up to one third of teachers perceive their occupation as highly stressful. It is clear that teachers can be exposed to a number of sources of stress. Kyriacou (2001), reports that the main sources of teacher stress are teaching students who lack motivation, maintaining discipline in the classroom, confronting general time pressures and workload demands, being exposed to a large amount of change, being evaluated by others, having challenging relationships with colleagues, administration, and management, and being exposed to generally poor working conditions.

OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

- 1. To compare the stress management of teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.
- 2. To compare the stress management of male and female teachers of Government secondary schools.
- 3. To compare the stress management of male and female teachers of Non-Government secondary schools.
- 4. To compare the stress management of male teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.
- 5. To compare the stress management of female teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.

HYPOTHESES OF STUDY

- 1. There is no significant difference between stress management of teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.
- 2. There is no significant difference between stress management of male and female teachers of Government secondary schools.
- 3. There is no significant difference between stress management of male and female teachers of Non-Government secondary schools.

SRJIS/ Indu Rathee (771-778)

- 4. There is no significant difference between stress management of male teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.
- 5. There is no significant difference between stress management of female teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.

SAMPLE

The sample of the present study is drawn randomly from Government and Non-Government secondary schools of Sonepat district. The sample consists of 100 teachers (25 male and 25 female from Government secondary school and 25 male and 25 female from Non-Government secondary school teachers).

TOOL USED

"STRESS MANAGEMENT SCALE (SMS-KC) "developed by Dr. Vandana Kaushik (Udaipur) and Dr. Namrata Arora Charpe (Banasthali)

STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES

To analyze the data and interpret the data, the investigator used the following statistical techniques.

- 1. Mean and Standard Deviation
- 2. t-Test to compare group

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Objective 1:

To compare the stress management of teachers of Government and Non -Government secondary schools.

Table 1

Shows the Mean, S.D. and t-value of teachers of Government and Non -Government secondary schools related to Stress Management

School	Number	Mean	Standard	't'-value	Level of
	of		deviation		significance at 0.05
	Teachers				
Government school	50	132.34	9.110	13.54	Significant
Non- Government school	50	96.72	16.236		

Interpretation: It is observed from table 1 that t-value is 13.54, which is significant at 0.05 level. Thus null hypothesis "There will be no significant difference between stress

management of teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools" is rejected. This shows that there is difference between the stress management of Government

and Non-Government secondary school teachers.

Objective 2

To compare the stress management of male and female teachers of Government secondary schools.

Table 2

Shows the Mean, S.D. and t-value of Male and Female teachers of Government secondary schools related to Stress Management

Government	Number of	Mean	Standard	't'-value	Level of
school Teacher	teachers		deviation		significance
					at 0.05
Male	25	130.16	6.7337		
Female	25	134.52	9.2045	0.05558	Not
					Significant

Interpretation: It is observed from table 2 that t-value is 0.05558 which is not significant at 0.05 levels. Thus null hypothesis "There will be no significant difference between stress management of male and female teachers of Government secondary schools." is accepted. This shows that there is no difference between the stress management of male and female Government secondary school teachers.

Objective 3:

To compare the stress management of male and female teachers of Non-Government secondary schools.

Table 3

Shows the Mean, S.D. and t-value of male and female teachers of Non -Government secondary schools related to Stress Management

Non-Government	Numbers of	Mean	Standard	't'-value	Levelofsignificance
school Teacher	teachers		deviation	NARY	at 0.05
Male	25	96.92	17.1121	5	
Female	25	96.52	15.663	0.931069	Not Significant

Interpretation: It is observed from table 3 that t-value is 0.931069 which is not significant at 0.05 level. Thus null hypothesis "There will be no significant difference between stress management of male and female teachers of Non-Government secondary schools" is accepted. This shows that there is no significant difference between the stress management of Non-Government male and female secondary school teachers.

Objective 4:

To compare the stress management of male teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools.

Table 4

Shows the Mean, S.D. and t-value of Male Teachers of Government and Non Government secondary schools related to Stress Management

Male	Number of	Mean	Standard	't'-	Level	of
	teachers		deviation	value	significance	at
					0.05	
Government school	25	130.16	6.7337			
	110					
Non-Government	25	96.92	17.1121	8.5213	Significant	
school	110	MALF	OR INV		A	

Interpretation: It is observed from table 4 that t-value is 8.5213, which are significant at 0.05 levels. Thus null hypothesis "There will be no significant difference between stress management of male teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools." is rejected. This shows that there is difference between stress management of Government and Non-Government male secondary school teachers.

Objective5:

To compare the stress management of female teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools

Table 5

Shows the Mean, S.D. and t-value of Female teachers of Government and Non Government secondary schools related to Stress Management

Female	Number	Mean	Standard	't'-value	Level of
	of		deviation		significance
	teachers				at 0.05
Government school	25	134.52	6.7337		
Non-Government school	25	96.52	15.663	6.8560	Significant

Interpretation: It is observed from table 1.5 that t-value is 6.8560 which are significant at 0.05 levels. Thus null hypothesis "There will be no significant difference between stress management of female teachers of Government and Non-Government secondary schools." is rejected. This shows that there is difference between the stress management of Government and Non-Government female secondary school teachers.

Conclusion:

On the basis of the discussion of results and findings of the study, it is concluded that-

There is significant difference between the stress management of Government and Non-Government secondary school teachers. Government school teachers have batter stress management. This means that there is high level of pressure on the minds of non-government school teachers as compared to those of government teachers. There is no significant difference between the stress management of male and female Government secondary school teachers. There is no significant difference between the stress management of Non -Government male and female secondary school teachers. There is a significant difference between stress management of Government and Non-Government male secondary school teachers. Stress management of government male teachers is better as compared to those of non-government male teachers. There is a significant difference between the stress management of Govt. and Non-Government female secondary school teachers. Stress management of non-government female teachers is high as compared to those of government female teachers.

REFERENCES

Abrol, K.K., 1990, A study of Language Strain and Coping behaviours of Teachers, Psycholingua, 20: 173-178.

Borg, M. (1990). Occupational stress in British educational settings: A review. Educational Psychology, 10, 103-126.

Jarvis, M. (2002). Teacher Stress: A critical review of recent finding and suggestions for future research direction. Stress News. Retrieved March 10, 2003, from http://www.isma.org./uk/stressnw/teachstress1.htm

SRJIS/ Indu Rathee (771-778)

Kyriacou, C. (2001). Teacher stress: directions for future research. Educational Review, 53(1), 27-35. Schonfeld. I. (1990). Psychological distress in a sample of teachers. The Journal of Psychology, 123, 321-338.

Rahmani Mehri, Rahmani Ali, Nequee Fateme (2013). The Effect of Stress Management Teaching Skills to Reduce Student's Avoidance Coping Style, Vol 2,Issue No. 2.

S.S.Mathur (1987). Educational Psychology, revised and enlarged eleventh edition, Vinod Pushtak Mandir, Agra.

Yazdani Mohsen , Rezaei Sara, Pahlavanzadeh Saeid (2010). The effectiveness of stress management training program on depression, anxiety and stress of the nursing students" Vol15, Issue No.4.

Y.K.Singh and R.B.Bajpai (2010). Research Methodology techniques and trends, APH Publishing Corporation, New Delhi-110002